

DWR/Water Planning Branch  
901 P. Street  
Sacramento, California  
95814

P O Box 942836  
Sac. Ca. 94236-0001

Fax 916/ 651-9289

Dear DWR,

On July 21, 2005 I faxed to your attention my comments on the California Water Plan update 2005, Callegus Creek Water-Shed Area. In speaking to my college Mrs. Teresa Jordan she informed me that my comments are not posted on your web site. Yet, I faxed hours prior to Teresa sending in her comments.

Is it your practice to censor unfavorable comments from being heard? I did not state that I didn't want my comments posted on the web site, yet they aren't posted with other comments. My comments touched on the Environmental Justice, that was a part of the CWP, update 2005, CCWSA document, I believe under part 4.

I find it somewhat strange that since the comments from Environment Justice Colcoalation for Water touchrd on the EJ, as I did ~~that~~ my comments were left out. And, EJCW comments were received on July 22, 2005 a day latter than my comments fax to you on Jult 21, 2005.


I would appreciate hearing back from you.

Sincerely,

Ginn Doose  
4922 Alta Street  
Simi Valley, Ca.  
93063

c/o P O Box 2310  
Clearlake, Ca.  
95422

707/ 994-4922, or 805/ 522-3779



07/29/2005 09:43

July 21, 2005

DWR/ Water Planning Branch  
 901 P. Street,  
 Sacramento, California  
 95814

P.O. Box 942836  
 Sac. , Ca. 94236-0001

Fax 916/653-7101

651-9289

Attn:

Steven M. M.

Schwartzman & Son

916/445-4633

RE: California Water Plan, Update 2005, (The Callegus Creek  
 Water Shed Area.

Time extention requested, for review period under notification  
 of residents on proposed projects, where no notification was  
 given as required by Law.

Dear Environmental Justice in California Government,

Be advised that there has been no notification sent to me ( a  
 homeowner, who's property is adjacent to the Las Lajas Channel ),  
 regarding the proposed project, or the ongoing work being conducted  
 within the tributary of the Arroya Simi, within the City of Simi  
 Valley, California.

Therefore, this project is in violation of Laws and Regulations  
 that govern Water way and Water Courses/Water Bodies of California,  
 as well as in violations of Water ways of the United States.

It is my understanding that, it was stated that all residents  
 have been notified about all works being conducted within the Las  
 Lajas Channel. That statement is not correct, or accurate. The City  
 of Simi Valley has the Post Office Box where I can be reached, since  
 Simi Valley has been named as a defendant in Law Suite since 1992.  
 And, as such have a currant address where they/Simi Valley's City  
 Attorney has mailed filing to my attention throughtout the last decade  
 of litigation.

I am requesting an extention of time to review the documents  
 regarding this proposal, due to failure to notify residents of prop-  
 posed work. This same violation took place in April of 1991 when  
 the review period for the FIRMS was circumvented, for the City of  
 Simi Vallies personal gain. I filed a Letter of Review, that the City  
 FEMA Representative signed and dated April 21, 1991.

The request for review period was removed from FEMA's file and  
 a second and third copy of the signed document had to be provided to  
 FEMA. It was because of my request of review, and the research that  
 followed that I filed a Law suit against The State Water Resources,  
 and FEMA, the Federal Government for failure to administer and enforce  
 the NFIP in December of 1991. That caused my home and proper to be  
 damaged and Simi Valley was also named as a defendant, with that my  
 home and property were illegally transfered, and my family moved in-  
 to the street in order to put an end to the suits filed.

Page 2  
Doose/DWR  
July 21, 2005


FEMA would state that since I didn't have possession of my home and property that no action would be taken.

It should be noted that the City of Simi Valley removed areas off the FIRM'S that were actually in the flood plain. Simi Valley falsified documents/maps that showed improvements were made that weren't done in order to remove areas off the FIRMS, that wouldn't have been removed due to hazards to property during a 100 year flood.

I have been the sole owner of; 4922 Alta Street, Simi Valley California, 93063 since my divorce December 1985, and prior to that time I was joint tenant with my ex.husband since April of 1979. I have never been notified about the project proposed within the Las Lajas Channel, deliberately.

It is my understanding that FEMA will be funding this project at over 90% of the expense incurred. The State of California is being sued for noncompliance to enforce its own State Constitution, and for blocking me out of a Court of Law on damages caused by Simi Valley's failure to enforce and Administer the National Flood Insurance Program that caused the original damage to my property. I would suggest that The State Water Resources think long and hard about approving a project that has all the earmarks of "Disaster written all over it".  
Sincerely,

Ginn Doose  
4922 Alta Street  
Simi Valley, Ca. 93063  
c/o P O Box 2310  
Clearlake, Ca. 95422  
805/ 522-3779



P.S!!

As a point of contention, the fill that was used in the Las Lajas when the banks eroded during the Winter Storms of 1992-1993 was silt and debris from the down stream tributary of the Las Lajas. Myself and resident Mrs. Teresa Jordan watched as City and County Trucks worked day and night to shore-up the banks of the Las Lajas prior to the State inspection, for funding.